



1
00:00:00,000 --> 00:00:04,500
So I wanted to clarify a quote
that I made, that was taken

2
00:00:04,500 --> 00:00:07,200
somewhat out of context, mostly
because the context wasn't

3
00:00:07,200 --> 00:00:09,930
available. But the quote
appeared most recently in

4
00:00:09,960 --> 00:00:12,990
Newsweek, where it said,

5
00:00:13,020 --> 00:00:16,260
"One prolific debunker, Mick
West dismissed the interest by

6
00:00:16,260 --> 00:00:20,460
members of Congress like Reid
before and Rubio now, with

7
00:00:20,940 --> 00:00:24,060
'they're just UFO fans', he told
The New Yorker, they've been

8
00:00:24,060 --> 00:00:26,790
convinced there's something to
it. And so are trying to push

9
00:00:26,790 --> 00:00:29,940
for disclosure. Now, this is
actually something I said. And

10
00:00:29,940 --> 00:00:33,210
it's something that's actually
in the New Yorker. And in the

11

00:00:33,210 --> 00:00:36,210

New Yorker, if you read that article, which I recommend,

12

00:00:36,480 --> 00:00:39,030

there's a little bit more context in that a former

13

00:00:39,030 --> 00:00:42,960

Pentagon official conceded that "there were a lot of government

14

00:00:42,960 --> 00:00:45,480

people who are enthusiasts on the subjects who watch the

15

00:00:45,480 --> 00:00:48,780

History Channel and eat this stuff up 24 seven", but he

16

00:00:48,780 --> 00:00:52,170

didn't think that "the current mood was set by a small cadre of

17

00:00:52,170 --> 00:00:53,070

true believers".

18

00:00:53,600 --> 00:00:57,380

But really the context here is that I'm not trying to dismiss

19

00:00:57,380 --> 00:01:03,770

the entire field of UAP studies and the concern about UAPs

20

00:01:04,070 --> 00:01:09,290

because what UAPs are from a military perspective are simply

21

00:01:09,290 --> 00:01:13,190

things that are unidentified.

This includes unidentified

22

00:01:13,190 --> 00:01:17,450

planes and unidentified drones
that go into military airspace,

23

00:01:17,780 --> 00:01:20,810

or just things that pilots see
when they're up in the sky doing

24

00:01:20,810 --> 00:01:23,540

their usual things, and they see
something off in the distance,

25

00:01:23,540 --> 00:01:26,930

or sometimes something quite
close, that they can't identify.

26

00:01:27,170 --> 00:01:31,130

This is a problem. It can be a
serious national security issue.

27

00:01:31,130 --> 00:01:33,920

And I think it's something that
needs to be studied. And it

28

00:01:33,920 --> 00:01:37,010

needs to be something that needs
to be improved, the situation

29

00:01:37,010 --> 00:01:40,640

needs to be improved. If there
are failures of technology or

30

00:01:40,640 --> 00:01:43,520

training or personnel that mean
that we're not identifying

31

00:01:43,520 --> 00:01:46,880

things, and those failures need to be addressed. If there is, in

32

00:01:46,880 --> 00:01:50,030

fact, some new technology out there by a foreign adversary,

33

00:01:50,240 --> 00:01:52,460

then that needs to be discovered. And that needs to be

34

00:01:52,460 --> 00:01:55,700

revealed. If there are simply things like sneaky drones going

35

00:01:55,700 --> 00:01:58,820

around sneaking into our bases or being launched from

36

00:01:58,820 --> 00:02:01,400

submarines near battle groups and going over those battle

37

00:02:01,400 --> 00:02:04,220

groups, that is something that needs to be addressed. That is

38

00:02:04,220 --> 00:02:07,130

something that is a very real concern, and should be looked

39

00:02:07,130 --> 00:02:07,610

into.

40

00:02:08,590 --> 00:02:12,220

What I'm referring to when I say they're just UFO fans, isn't

41

00:02:12,220 --> 00:02:16,300

that what I'm referring to is

the interest in the idea that

42

00:02:16,300 --> 00:02:21,430
they might be aliens. I think
this is a idea that really isn't

43

00:02:21,430 --> 00:02:24,430
taken very seriously within the
US government, within the

44

00:02:24,430 --> 00:02:26,830
military and within the
scientific community, and with

45

00:02:26,830 --> 00:02:29,440
good reason, because there isn't
really any very good evidence

46

00:02:29,440 --> 00:02:34,210
for it. And I think when people
like Rubio and Harry Reid,

47

00:02:34,660 --> 00:02:39,370
entertain this idea, which they
do very, very gently, they don't

48

00:02:39,370 --> 00:02:43,900
really lean into it very much.
When they do entertain it. It is

49

00:02:43,900 --> 00:02:49,930
somewhat prompted by the fact
that they are somewhat UFO fans,

50

00:02:49,930 --> 00:02:53,050
Harry Reid, especially we know
Harry Reid is big [friends] with

51

00:02:53,050 --> 00:02:56,050
Bigelow who is a local

businessman who's very into

52

00:02:56,050 --> 00:03:01,360
UFOs. Marco Rubio, I don't know
so much, but he does seem to

53

00:03:01,360 --> 00:03:04,120
want to entertain the idea and
you know, it runs well with

54

00:03:04,150 --> 00:03:07,510
certain people, it's a popular
story. People like to talk about

55

00:03:07,510 --> 00:03:10,720
UFOs. And so it's something he
can talk about. He's a bit of a

56

00:03:10,720 --> 00:03:14,230
UFO fan, but that's not what I'm
talking about.

57

00:03:14,470 --> 00:03:16,810
So if I'm a little bit
dismissive of people and

58

00:03:16,810 --> 00:03:21,430
dismissive of their interest in
UFOs as aliens, which I don't

59

00:03:21,430 --> 00:03:24,580
think is very well founded. I'm
not at all dismissive of the

60

00:03:24,580 --> 00:03:29,320
interest in the UAP idea, the
UAP phenomena, the fact that

61

00:03:29,710 --> 00:03:32,350
sometimes there are things in

the sky that are unidentified,

62

00:03:32,350 --> 00:03:35,500

this obviously is a very real
issue, and is something that